## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION

BRIAN K. HEMPHILL,	
Movant,	No. C08-0120-LRR No. CR06-0070-LRR
vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.	ORDER

This matter appears before the court on Brian K. Hemphill's motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (docket no. 1). Brian K. Hemphill ("the movant") filed such motion on September 26, 2008. Having conducted its preliminary consideration of the movant's § 2255 motion pursuant to Rule 4(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings, the court directs the government and the movant to respond in the following manner:

- 1. The government is directed to file a brief in response to the movant's § 2255 motion on or before November 29, 2010. The government may attach relevant exhibits to its brief.
- 2. If he so chooses, the movant is directed to file a brief in reply to the government's response on or before December 13, 2010.

The movant raises at least one claim of ineffective assistance of counsel. A claim of ineffective assistance of counsel waives the attorney-client privilege as to communications with the attorney necessary to prove or disprove the claim. *See Tasby v. United States*, 504 F.2d 332, 336 (8th Cir. 1974) ("When a client calls into public question the competence of his attorney, the privilege is waived."); *see also United States v. Pinson*, 584 F.3d 972, 978 (10th Cir. 2009) (citing *Tasby*, 504 F.2d at 336); *In re Lott*,

424 F.3d 446, 453 (6th Cir. 2005) (same); *Bittaker v. Woodford*, 331 F.3d 715, 720 (9th

Cir. 2003) (addressing scope of waiver); ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct

1.6(b)(5)-(6) (providing guidance as to when a lawyer may reveal information relating to

representation of a client). Therefore, counsel whose representation is challenged is

directed to cooperate with the government and to provide information, documents and/or

an affidavit, if necessary, that is responsive to any ineffective assistance of counsel claim

asserted by the movant.

Where former counsel cooperates by reviewing his or her files, by providing

information and documents, by preparing an affidavit and/or by testifying during an

evidentiary hearing, the court deems it appropriate to pay him or her under the Criminal

Justice Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A. After providing the requisite services, counsel may

submit a supplemental CJA 20 voucher. Absent exceptional circumstances or an

extraordinary reason for doing so, counsel's claim for services should not exceed 10 hours

and claim for other expenses should not exceed \$250.00.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED** this 20th day of October, 2010.

LINDA R. READE

CHIEF JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

2